legal aiddc

www.legalaiddc.org

1331 H Street, NW Suite 350 Washington, DC 20005 (202) 628-1161

Testimony of Jen Jenkins Policy Counsel, Systemic Advocacy and Law Reform Legal Aid DC

Before the Committee of the Whole with comments from the Committee on Business and Economic Development, and Committee on Housing Council of the District of Columbia

Public Hearing Regarding:

Bill 25-0482 Releasing Restrictive Covenants in Deeds Act of 2023 December 8, 2023

Legal Aid DC¹ writes in support of B25-0482 - Releasing Restrictive Covenants in Deeds Act of 2023. Legal Aid appreciates that the bill seeks to prohibit restrictive covenants against marginalized people and allows an owner of record who believes their property is subject to a "prohibited restriction" to submit an amending instrument to the Recorder of Deeds that releases the "prohibited restriction." While the introduced bill offers a powerful statement about DC's intolerance for restrictive covenants, we recommend strengthening the measure. First, the bill should broaden its definition of identities and characteristics that cannot be discriminated against. Second, the bill should provide

¹Legal Aid of the District of Columbia was formed in 1932 to "provide legal aid and counsel to indigent persons in civil law matters and to encourage measures by which the law may better protect and serve their needs." Legal Aid is the oldest and largest general civil legal services program in the District of Columbia. Over the last 91 years, Legal Aid staff and volunteers have been making justice real – in individual and systemic ways – for tens of thousands of persons living in poverty in the District. The largest part of our work is comprised of individual representation in housing, domestic violence/family, public benefits, and consumer law. We also work on immigration law matters and help individuals with the collateral consequences of their involvement with the criminal justice system. From the experiences of our clients, we identify opportunities for court and law reform, public policy advocacy, and systemic litigation. More information about Legal Aid can be obtained from our website, www.LegalAidDC.org.



funding for historical and current education on the impacts of restrictive covenants and to ensure effective housing programs address the harms caused by segregation in housing. Finally, we recommend simplifying the bill by requiring the Recorder of Deeds to create a form to remove restrictive covenants, similarly to Maryland.

The Legacy of Restrictive Covenants Continues to Keep Marginalized Communities from Achieving Homeownership Today

Restrictive covenants dramatically impacted the development and composition of the DC neighborhoods we know today. Racially restrictive covenants were used to displace and dispossess Black people and other targeted races to homogenize neighborhoods and concentrate wealth. Landowners and neighborhood associations used deed and petition covenants to prevent Black people from buying and accessing housing for long periods of time or even in perpetuity until the Supreme Court prohibited them.

Even though the U.S. Supreme Court declared such covenants unconstitutional in 1948, and the federal Fair Housing Act of 1968 subsequently outlawed restrictive covenants, DC residents, and in particular our client community who live at or below 200% of the federal poverty level, are still bearing the harms of racially restrictive covenants and other discriminatory housing practices such as redlining, home devaluation, racial steering and blockbusting, exclusionary zoning, urban renewal² today.

Legal Aid has seen segregation's harmful impacts firsthand and in the data on our client community. Despite many government programs to support homeownership, the District still has failed to close the racial homeownership gap among its residents. In DC, 35% of Black residents own their own homes compared to 50% of white residents. Further, the racial wealth gap in the District remains disparate, with white households having 81 times the wealth of Black households and 22 times the wealth of Latinx households. These disparities can be attributed in part to the effect of restrictive covenants since homeownership is a crucial avenue to creating long-term wealth, and marginalized people were, and continue to be prohibited access to that opportunity due to institutionalized racism.

² Whose Downtown? Urban Renewal: The Story of Southwest D.C., <u>https://whosedowntown.wordpress.com/urban-renewal-the-story-of-southwest-d-</u> <u>c/#:~:text=The%20implementation%20of%20the%20urban,D.C.%20to%20relocate%20t</u> <u>o%20other</u>



In Order to Address the Harms Caused by Entrenched Racism, DC Should Join Other Progressive States in Removing Restrictive Covenants

The District would not be alone in eliminating these historically racist and segregationist housing laws. Our neighboring states, Maryland and Virginia, have passed laws providing for the release of restrictive covenants. Notably, Maryland's law allows property owners to complete a form and remove the covenants for free.³ In California, a bill recently became law that streamlined the process of removing restrictive covenant language.⁴ Illinois recently enacted a law to remove or amend racially restrictive covenants from property records.⁵ Other states have passed similar laws, including Oregon and Connecticut.⁶ DC should follow the national momentum toward allowing the removal of restrictive covenants by having this bill become law.

The Committee Should Improve the Bill Through Expanding Its Protections, Providing Historical Education on Restrictive Covenants, Funding Homeownership Programs, and Simplifying the Removal Process

The Committee Should Ensure That "Prohibited Restrictions" Is Defined Expansively in the Bill

The introduced bill's definition of "prohibited restriction" does not comprehensively include people who are discriminated against at the intersections of race and other marginalized demographics. While Legal Aid appreciates the identities named in the bill and the inclusion of the Human Rights Act, we believe additional characteristics should be included in the definition. We recommend that the definition include people with criminal records that are not sealed, as well as immigration status. Including more categories of residents who are historically discriminated against will not only strengthen the bill but will also make it clear that the District will not tolerate discrimination against DC's most vulnerable residents.

³ Maryland Real Property Code § 11B-113.3 (2018); Va. Code § 36-96.6.

⁴ Assembly Bill 911, https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=202320240AB911.

⁵ 55 III. Comp. Stat. 5/3-5048 (2022).

⁶ Conn. Gen. Stat. § 47-12b; Oregon Revised Statues § 93.270.

The Committee Should Include a Historical Education Program and Funding for Black Homeownership in the Bill

It is important to remove racist language from our historical records, but it is also critical that we do not forget that history, and that we make sure to learn from it. Legal Aid recommends that this Committee include in the bill the launch of a program for DC residents to continue learning the history and harm of restrictive covenants and to support the generations of Washingtonians affected by restrictive covenants. Washington state's restrictive covenant law is an example of such a program.⁷ The Washington law requires an initial covenant homeownership program study to document past and ongoing discrimination against marginalized people in the state.⁸ In Marin County, California, county officials launched the Restrictive Covenant Project, which "aims to inform and educate Marin County residents of the history and significance of government policies and programs that were intentionally discriminatory and helped create segregated communities in Marin."⁹

Legal Aid also recommends that the Council further invest in homeownership opportunities for its marginalized residents. Washington state's law on removal of restrictive covenants law requires assistance with homeownership costs and is instructive on how DC's bill could amended.¹⁰ Further, in DC, the Mayor's Black Homeownership Strike Force ("BHSF") offered recommendations to create a path for Black homeowners to stay in their homes, which included the following:

⁷ Washington State, Housing Commission Office, Covenant Homeownership Program, A History of Racism in Housing - A Commitment to Make it Right, <u>https://www.wshfc.org/covenant/index.htm#:~:text=The%20Covenant%20Homeowners</u> <u>hip%20Act%20is,and%20practices%20by%20Washington%20State</u>

⁸ See House Bill 1474 <u>https://lawfilesext.leg.wa.gov/biennium/2023-24/Pdf/Bills/Session</u> Laws/House/1474-S2.SL.pdf?q=20231114115359 (2023).

⁹ Marin County Restrictive Covenant Project, <u>https://www.marincounty.org/main/restrictive-covenants-project</u>.

¹⁰ See House Bill 1474 <u>https://lawfilesext.leg.wa.gov/biennium/2023-</u> 24/Pdf/Bills/Session Laws/House/1474-S2.SL.pdf?q=20231114115359 (2023).



- The BHSF recommended that the District create a program to aid Black homeowners who have experienced and are at risk of foreclosure due to their inability to pay their mortgage and related housing fees.¹¹
- The BHSF also recommended improving the ability of Black DC residents to purchase a home by strengthening the effectiveness of all homeownership programs (i.e. Home Purchase Assistance Program, Employer Assisted Housing Program, DC Open Doors, etc.).¹²

Legal Aid urges the committee to utilize these recommendations from the Strikeforce and other jurisdictions to materially address the harms caused by restrictive covenants. In addition to the recommendations of the BHSF, Legal Aid DC strongly recommends investment in social housing legislation such as the Green New Deal for Housing Amendment Act of 2023.

<u>The Committee Should Amend Bill 25-0482 to Require the Recorder of Deeds to Create a "Removal of Restrictive Covenants" Form</u>

As written, the bill allows homeowners to draft and present an amending instrument to the Recorder of Deeds that releases restrictive covenants. The process would be simplified if the bill required the Recorder of Deeds to create the amending instrument, similarly to Maryland, ¹³ which the homeowner fills out and provides. Then, homeowners could use the form to more easily remove the restrictive covenants from their deeds.

Conclusion

Thank you for the opportunity to testify about the impact of restrictive covenants on DC and our client community and for considering our recommendations to improve the bill. We look forward to working with this Committee and the Council on the path ahead.

¹² *Id.*

¹¹ Black Homeownership Strike Force, Final Report, (October 2022) <u>https://dmped.dc.gov/sites/default/files/dc/sites/dmped/page_content/attachments/BH</u> <u>SF%20Report%20FINAL%20FINAL%20.pdf</u>

¹³ State of Maryland Covenant Modification Intake Sheet, <u>https://www.courts.state.md.us/sites/default/files/court-forms/cc087.pdf</u>